

REMARKS

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed April 24, 2008.

I. Summary of Examiner's Rejections

Prior to the Office Action mailed April 24, 2008, claims 1-8 and 10-14 were pending in the Application. In the Office Action, claims 1-8 and 10-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Bickmore et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,857,102, hereinafter Bickmore) in view of Kindberg et al. (<http://www.hpl.hp.com/techreports/2000/HPL-2000-16.pdf>, hereinafter Kindberg).

II. Summary of Applicants' Amendments

The present Response amends claim 1, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration claims 1-8 and 10-14. Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter is being added to these claims. Reconsideration of the claims in light of the following arguments is respectfully requested.

III. Claims Rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1-8 and 10-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Bickmore et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,857,102, hereinafter Bickmore) in view of Kindberg et al. (<http://www.hpl.hp.com/techreports/2000/HPL-2000-16.pdf>, hereinafter Kindberg).

Claim 1

Independent claim 1 has been amended by the present Response to more clearly define the embodiment of the invention therein. As amended, claim 1 defines:

1. (Currently amended) *A method for providing dynamic data detection from Web content information for a mobile device comprising the steps of:
receiving a URL from a user;
accessing a Web page identified by the URL;
parsing the currently accessed Web page dynamically in real time to identify one or more non-hyperlink Web content data items in the Web page;*

creating one or more link indications that correspond to the one or more non-hyperlink Web content data items; and

displaying to the mobile device the one or more link indications for selection by the user, each link indication providing a link to a service through a wireless connection from the mobile device, and each service performing a service related to a type of Web content data item, for the Web content data item corresponding to the link indication.

Claim 1 as amended requires each link indication providing a link to a service through a wireless connection from the mobile device, and each service performing a service related to a type of Web content data item, for the Web content data item corresponding to the link indication. As discussed in the specification, link indications are provided for the Web content data items parsed from a Web page. Examples of types of Web content data items are telephone numbers, addresses, and emails. These *link indications* are displayed to the mobile device for selection by the user and *provide a service* from the mobile device through a wireless connection. Each *service*, such as dialing a phone number, displaying a map, or sending an emailing, is *related to a type of Web content data item*, such as telephone numbers, addresses, and emails. For example, telephone number links may be accessed to dial the listed number, links to addresses may be accessed to access a map for locating the address, and links to email addresses may be accessed to send an email to the email address. (Spec., p. 8, line 20-p. 9, line 2). Applicants respectfully submit that these features are not disclosed by Bickmore or Kindberg, as discussed below

On page 3 of the Office action, it was stated that Kindberg discloses each link indication providing a link to a service through a wireless connection from the mobile device, as required by claim 1. Applicants respectfully disagree. Kindberg discloses that Veronica's PDA electronically picks up URLs for pages about the city itself and places within it that she travels through - the railway station, a shopping district, and a café - and it presents these to her as web links. Veronica's PDA senses these links, and she may select them as she travels. (p. 3, 2.1). In the embodiment as defined by claim 1, on the other hand, the link indications (web links) were created from Web content data items, for example telephone numbers, addresses, and emails, which were parsed from a Web page. In other words, the sensed web link as disclosed in Kindberg, is not the same as the link indication created from a Web content data item parsed from a Web page, as in the embodiment defined by claim 1. Thus, Kindberg does not disclose

each link indication providing a link to a service through a wireless connection from the mobile device, as required by claim 1.

Further, on page 3 of the Office action, it was stated that Kindberg discloses each service performing a service related to a type of Web content data item, for the Web content data item corresponding to the link indication, as required by claim 1. Applicants respectfully disagree. Kindberg discloses that when Veronica calls up a Web page for Harry and clicks on a link marked “communicate,” that telephone rings, and the telephone application pops up on her PDA. (p. 3, 2.1). This “communicate” link is simply a link on a (Harry’s) Web page. In the embodiment as defined by claim 1, on the other hand, the link indications were created from Web content data items, which were parsed from a Web page. In other words, the “communicate” link found on a web page (Harry’s), as disclosed in Kindberg, is not the same as the link indication created from Web content data items parsed from a Web page, as in the embodiment defined by claim 1. Thus, Kindberg does not disclose each service performing a service related to a type of Web content data item, for the Web content data item corresponding to the link indication, as required by claim 1.

As such, Applicants respectfully submit that Bickmore and Kindberg fail to teach or suggest displaying to the mobile device the one or more link indications for selection by the user, each link indication providing a link to a service through a wireless connection from the mobile device, and each service performing a service related to a type of Web content data item, for the Web content data item corresponding to the link indication, as required by claim 1. For at least these reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the embodiment defined by claim 1 is neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of Bickmore or Kindberg, taken alone or in combination, and respectfully request reconsideration of this claim.

Claim 3

Claim 3 requires that one of the one or more Web content data items comprises a telephone number. As discussed above in reference to claim 1, Kindberg does not teach link indications created from Web content data items, which were parsed from a Web page. Accordingly, in regard to telephone numbers, Kindberg does not disclose a link indication created from a telephone number, which was parsed from a Web page.

As such, Applicants respectfully submit that Bickmore and Kindberg fail to teach or suggest that one of the one or more Web content data items comprises a telephone number, as required by claim 3. For at least this reason, Applicants respectfully submit that the embodiment defined by claim 3 is likewise neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of Bickmore or Kindberg, taken alone or in combination, and respectfully request reconsideration of this claim.

Claim 5

Claim 5 requires that one of the one or more Web content data items comprises a street address. As discussed above in reference to claim 1, Kindberg does not teach link indications created from Web content data items, which were parsed from a Web page. Accordingly, in regard to street addresses, Kindberg does not disclose a link indication created from a street address, which was parsed from a Web page.

As such, Applicants respectfully submit that Bickmore and Kindberg fail to teach or suggest that one of the one or more Web content data items comprises a street address, as required by claim 5. For at least this reason, Applicants respectfully submit that the embodiment defined by claim 5 is likewise neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of Bickmore or Kindberg, taken alone or in combination, and respectfully request reconsideration of this claim.

Claim 6

Claim 6 requires that if one of the one or more Web content data items is a street address and the corresponding at least one link indication is activated, displaying to the mobile device the location of the street address on an online map. On page 6 of the Office action, it was stated that this is disclosed by Kindberg on p. 5 (and 6), 2.4. This section of Kindberg discloses location-specific web portals, but does not teach or suggest street addresses or online maps. Thus, Kindberg does not disclose displaying to the mobile device the location of the street address on an online map, as required by claim 6.

As such, Applicants respectfully submit that Bickmore and Kindberg fail to teach or suggest that if one of the one or more Web content data items is a street address and the corresponding at least one link indication is activated, displaying to the mobile device the location of the street address on an online map, as required by claim 6. For at least this reason, Applicants respectfully submit that the embodiment defined by claim 6 is likewise neither

anticipated by, nor obvious in view of Bickmore or Kindberg, taken alone or in combination, and respectfully request reconsideration of this claim.

Claim 7

Claim 7 requires that one of the one or more Web content data items comprises an email address. As discussed above in reference to claim 1, Kindberg does not teach link indications created from Web content data items, which were parsed from a Web page. Accordingly, in regard to email addresses, Kindberg does not disclose a link indication created from an email address, which was parsed from a Web page.

As such, Applicants respectfully submit that Bickmore and Kindberg fail to teach or suggest that one of the one or more Web content data items comprises an email address, as required by claim 7. For at least this reason, Applicants respectfully submit that the embodiment defined by claim 7 is likewise neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of Bickmore or Kindberg, taken alone or in combination, and respectfully request reconsideration of this claim.

Claim 10

Claim 10 is an independent method claim for providing a location on an online map of a street address associated with Web page information. The comments provided above with respect to claims 1, 5, and 6 are hereby incorporated by reference. For similar reasons as provided above with respect to claims 1, 5 and 6, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 10 is likewise neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of Bickmore and Kindberg, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claim 11

Claim 11 is an independent method claim for initiating an email for an email address associated with Web page information. The comments provided above with respect to claims 1 and 7 are hereby incorporated by reference. For similar reasons as provided above with respect to claims 1 and 7, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 11 is likewise neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of Bickmore and Kindberg, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claims 2, 4, 8, and 12-14

Claims 2, 4, 8, and 12-14 are not addressed separately, but it is respectfully submitted that these claims are allowable in view of the comments provided above. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 2, 4, 8, and 12-14 are similarly neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested. Further, these claims are at least allowable as depending from an allowable base claim. It is also submitted that these claims also add their own limitations, which render them patentable in their own right. Applicants respectfully reserve the right to argue these limitations should it become necessary in the future.

IV. Conclusion

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if she can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

Enclosed is a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for the time to respond up to and including September 24, 2008.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge the required fees and any underpayment of fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 24, 2008

By: /Julie Daniels Missud/

Julie Daniels Missud
Reg. No. 51,330

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800
Customer No. 23910